## EXHIBIT C

```
N22sHER1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
      HERMÈS INTERNATIONAL, et al.,
 4
                     Plaintiffs,
5
                                              22 Civ. 384 (JSR)
                 v.
6
      MARTIN ROTHSCHILD,
 7
                     Defendant.
8
                                                New York, N.Y.
9
                                                February 2, 2023
                                                9:30 a.m.
10
      Before:
11
                             HON. JED S. RAKOFF,
12
                                                District Judge
13
                                                 -and a Jury-
14
15
                                 APPEARANCES
16
      BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP
           Attorneys for Plaintiffs
17
      BY: DEBORAH A. WILCOX
           OREN J. WARSHAVSKY
           GERALD J. FERGUSON
18
      HARRIS ST. LAURENT & WECHSCLER LLP
19
           Attorneys for Defendant
20
      BY: ADAM B. OPPENHEIM
           JONATHAN A. HARRIS
21
      LEX LUMINA PLLC
22
          Attorneys for Defendant
      BY: RHETT O. MILLSAPS, II
23
24
25
```

9

10

11

12

13

Estival - Redirect

- 1 And is this a post that you put out publicly? 2 Yes, this went out on Twitter. Α. 3 Q. And this 24,000 number, that's right at the top of the Discord? 4 A. Yes, this is on December 15th. And this would have been 5 the number that I saw at the top. And everybody could see 6 7 publicly, so I couldn't, like, lie about it. 8 MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, I offer Defendant's Exhibit
  - 619.
  - MR. WARSHAVSKY: Your Honor, I think this is -- I think this is hearsay within hearsay, given the testimony.
  - THE COURT: Let me ask the witness, this is something you put together?
- 14 THE WITNESS: This is my -- my tweet.
- 15 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 16 MR. HARRIS: Thank you. Please publish that.
- 17 THE COURT: This is, I think, fair rebuttal, and the 18 door was opened to this. Received.
- 19 MR. HARRIS: Thank you, your Honor.
- 20 (Defendant's Exhibit 619 received in evidence)
- 21 THE COURT: So maybe this has been answered, but at
- 22 least to me it's unclear. The decision to use the term
- 23 "MetaBirkins" was yours, yes?
- 24 THE WITNESS: The final decision to actually use the 25 term, yes.

Yes.

Estival - Redirect

N22VHER2 Estival - Redirect	
THE COURT: Okay. And you intended to associate to	
indicate to the people who were accessing this that this was in	
some sense a reference to Birkin bags, yes?	
THE WITNESS: In some ways, yes, a reference.	
THE COURT: Okay. And just so I understand how the	
purchasing went, they knew that when they purchase an NFT, even	
though for 24 hours they would only see a shrouded image, that	
what they were ultimately going to get was one of these	
THE WITNESS: Yeah, one	
THE COURT: MetaBirkin fur-covered MetaBirkin	
images; correct?	
THE WITNESS: Yes.	
THE COURT: Go ahead.	
BY MR. HARRIS:	
Q. In fact, Mr. Rothschild, did there come a point in time	
where the Discord had more than 24,000 members?	
A. Yes, this was just on December 15th.	
MR. HARRIS: You can take that down, please, Ashley.	
Q. Mr. Warshavsky asked you some questions yesterday about a	
community poll, do you recall that?	
A. Right.	
MR. HARRIS: If you could please put up in evidence,	
Ashley, Exhibit 306, page 31.	

Q. And you were shown this yesterday, right?

N22sHER3 Estival - Redirect

1 (In open court) MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, may I ask the reporter to 2 3 read back the question so I get it exactly right? 4 THE COURT: Let me, just to move it along. So you created this image, yes? 5 THE WITNESS: Um, me and Mark. 6 7 THE COURT: OK. But it was at your direction? 8 THE WITNESS: Um, I believe so. We had just responded 9 to --10 THE COURT: Well, you're not disclaiming 11 responsibility; you take responsibility? 12 THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah, yeah. 13 THE COURT: All right. And this was after the 14 complaint in this case was filed? 15 THE WITNESS: After the cease and desist. THE COURT: After the cease and desist. 16 17 Before the complaint? 18 THE WITNESS: Before the official complaint, yes. 19 THE COURT: OK. And you were trying to convey a 20 message? 21 THE WITNESS: Um, yes. 22 THE COURT: OK. And what was the message you were 23 trying to convey? 24 THE WITNESS: Um, we made a statement, um, comparing, 25 um, MetaBirkins to, um, Andy Warhol's Campbell soup cans.

N22sHER3 Estival - Recross

THE COURT: And what is Andy Warhol's Campbell's soup can?

THE WITNESS: It was an edition of screen prints of Campbell's tomato soup cans that Andy Warhol created.

THE COURT: And do I understand what you are saying is that what you had in mind was a form of artistic expression?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Counsel.

MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, I have no further questions.

THE COURT: OK. Any recross?

MR. WARSHAVSKY: Yes, your Honor.

- RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. WARSHAVSKY:
- 14 Q. Mr. Rothschild, in response to your counsel's question
- 15 | about Discord, you said you had public communications with
- 16 | various purchasers of the MetaBirkins, correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 18 | Q. You haven't shown us any of those in this case, have you?
- 19 A. They are public.
- 20 | O. But none of them have been shown?
- 21 A. I produced my Discord -- the whole Discord and public
- 22 documents. It's thousands and thousands of pages.
- 23 Q. OK. Have any of them been shown in court?
- 24 | A. Yes.

25

Q. Which communication, which exhibit --